	Case 4:07-cv-05185-CW Document 10 Filed	07/14/200	8 Page 1 of 3			
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of the State of California DANE R. GILLETTE Chief Assistant Attorney General GERALD A. ENGLER Senior Assistant Attorney General GREGORY A. OTT Deputy Attorney General JILL M. THAYER, State Bar No. 166428 Deputy Attorney General 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 703-5954 Fax: (415) 703-1234 Email: Jill.Thayer@doj.ca.gov Attorneys for Respondent					
10						
11	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
12	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
13	OAKLAND DIVISION					
14	ROBERT VERNON WOODS,	C 07	7-05185 CW (PR)			
15	Petitio		SWER TO ORDER TO			
16	v.	SHO	OW CAUSE			
1718	FERNANDO GONZALEZ, Acting Warden,					
19	Respond	ent.				
20						
21	Respondent hereby provides this answer to the order to show cause why the petition					
22	for writ of habeas corpus should not be granted:					
23	CUSTODY					
24	Petitioner is lawfully in the custody of Fernando Gonzalez, Acting Warden of the					
25	California Correctional Institution in Tehachapi, California, as the result of a conviction in Santa					
26	Clara County Superior Court case number CC270577. Petitioner pled guilty to possession of					
27	cocaine base (Cal. Health & Saf. Code § 11350(b)), misdemeanor being under the influence of					
28	cocaine (Cal. Health & Saf. Code § 11350(a)), and misdemeanor possession of drug					
	Answer to Order to Show Cause		Woods v. Gonzalez, Acting Warden			
	1		C 07-05185 CW (PR)			

paraphernalia (Cal. Health & Saf. Code § 11364), and admitted having five prior strike convictions. After granting and revoking probation twice, the court sentenced petitioner to 25 years to life in state prison.

4

5

2

3

| G

67

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

///

///

///

///

///

///

24

25

26

27

28

40 ||

Answer to Order to Show Cause

GENERAL AND SPECIFIC DENIALS

Respondent denies that the state court's ruling was contrary to, or involved an unreasonable application of, clearly established federal law, as determined by the United States Supreme Court, or was based on an unreasonable determination of the facts in light of the evidence presented in the state court proceedings. Respondent specifically denies that petitioner was deprived of due process at sentencing or that petitioner's sentence constitutes "cruel and unusual punishment" under the Eighth Amendment.

PROCEDURAL ISSUES

Petitioner exhausted his claim in state court. His petition is timely.

LODGED DOCUMENTS

Respondent has lodged concurrently with this answer the following exhibits: (1) the state court Clerk's Transcript; (2) the state court Reporter's Transcript; (3) Appellant's Opening Brief; (4) Respondent's Brief; (5) Appellant's Reply Brief; (6) the unpublished opinion of the California Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District; (7) Petition for Review; and (8) Denial of Petition for Review. Respondent hereby incorporates by reference the accompanying memorandum of points and authorities in support of this answer.

Woods v. Gonzalez, Acting Warden C 07-05185 CW (PR)

	Case 4:07-cv-05185-CW	Document 10	Filed 07/14/2008	Page 3 of 3				
1	CONCLUSION							
2	WHEREFORE, respondent respectfully submits that the petition for writ of habeas							
3	corpus should be denied.							
4	Dated: July 14, 2008							
5	Respectfully submitted,							
6	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of the State of California							
7	DANE R. GILLETTE Chief Assistant Attorney General							
8		GERALD A. E	NGLER					
9		Senior Assistar GREGORY A.	nt Attorney General					
10		Deputy Attorne						
11								
12	/s/ Jill M. Thayer							
13								
14	A C D 1 c							
15								
16	20119215.wpd SF2008400949							
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								
	Answer to Order to Show Cause		V	Woods v. Gonzalez, Acting Warden C 07-05185 CW (PR)				
			3					